PATENT COOPERATION TRF "TY



From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF

THORP REED & ARMSTRONG, LLP Attn. Pencoske, Edward L. One Oxford Centre, 14th Floor 301 Grant Street Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1425 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION			
	(PCT Rule 44.1)			
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 08/06/2005			
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below			
DB001104-001				
International application No. PCT/US2005/003299	International filing date (day/month/year) 03/02/2005			
Applicant				
MCKESSON AUTOMATION SYSTEMS; INC.				
The applicant is hereby notified that the international search Authority have been established and are transmitted herew.	n report and the written opinion of the International Searching			
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claim When? The time limit for filing used amendments is nor international Search Report; however, for more Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPFO, 34	ns of the International Application (see Rule 46): maily 2 months from the date of transmittal of the details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet, it chemin des Colombettes			
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fa For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the acco				
The applicant is hereby notified that no international search Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the lit.				
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) addition	onal fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notilled that:			
applicant's request to forward the texts of both the pro	n transmitted to the International Bureau together with the test and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.			
no decision has been made yet on the protest; the app	dicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.			
4. Reminders Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, it international Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International B before the completion of the technical preparations for internation	publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international jureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively,			
The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy o international preliminary examination report has been or is to be of the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the price.	If such comments to all designated Offices unless an established. These comments would also be made available to			
Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of so examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Off	entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed			
In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months months.	s (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19			

See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Name and malling address of the International Searching Authority Authorized officer European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL-2280 HV Piljswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016 Olga Benitez

JOJES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basis instructions consenting the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirement of the Patient Cooperation Treaty. The Regulations and the Administrative instructions under that Treaty, in case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WHPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all part of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to the amendments of the claims under Article 19 except when, e.g. the applicant worth the latter to be pulled for the purposes of provisional protection or has another meason for amending the claims before international publication. Full thermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States on.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Proliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When? Within 2 months from the date o

Within 2 months from the date of transmitted of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the international Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rules 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been is filed, see below.

How? Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consocutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French. the letter must be in French.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (first sheet) (January 1994)

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (communed)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed:
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]: "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 55, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11.."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims?
- "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivicide into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

it must be in the language in which the international appolication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of Sing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the explicant must pretently, at the same time of Single the amendments with the International Eureau, also Sing a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Fulle 62.2(s), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide

PATENT COOPERATION TR TY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTUER							
7 photon of agont one release	FOR FURTHER	see Form PCT/ISA/220						
DB001104-001	ACTION as v	vell as, where applicable, item 5 below.						
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)						
PCT/US2005/003299 03/02/2005 04/02/2004								
Applicant								
MCKESSON AUTOMATION SYST	EMS, INC.							
This International Search Report has be according to Article 18. A copy is being	een prepared by this International Searching A transmitted to the International Bureau.	uthority and is transmitted to the applicant						
This laborational Court December 1								
This International Search Report consis								
X It is also accompanied i	by a copy of each prior art document cited in the	nis report.						
Basis of the report								
 With regard to the language, the language in which it was filed, u 	e International search was carried out on the t inless otherwise indicated under this item.	pasis of the International application in the						
The International this Authority (F	al search was carried out on the basis of a tran tule 23.1(b)).	nslation of the International application furnished to						
b. With regard to any nucl	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclose	ed in the International application, see Box No. I.						
2. Certain claims were fo	und unsearchable (See Box II).							
3. Unity of invention is la	cking (see Box III).							
4. With regard to the title,								
	submitted by the applicant.							
= .	ished by this Authority to read as follows:							
ule text lias been establ	ioned by this Adhibitly to read as follows:							
	•							
- * * .								
With regard to the abstract,								
X the text is approved as s	ubmitted by the applicant.							
the text has been establi may, within one month fr	shed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Autho om the date of mailing of this international sea	rity as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant arch report, submit comments to this Authority.						
With regard to the drawings,	C. Milith record to the describer.							
a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No								
X as suggested by								
=	is Authority, because the applicant failed to so	innect a firmina						
=	is Authority, because the applicant lailed to si							
	e published with the abstract.	MANAGO UTO HINGHRUIT.						
ш								

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/HS2005/003299

			,	, 000233	
A. CLASS IPC 7	IFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER G06F17/60 A61J7/00				
According	o International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classific	ation and tPC			
	SEARCHED				
Minimum d IPC 7	ocumentation searched (classification system followed by classification $606F$ $A61J$	on symbols)			
Documenta	tion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that s	such documents are includ	led in the fields sea	rched	
Electronic o	ata base consulted during the International search (name of data ba	se and, where practical, a	search terms used)		
EPO-In	ternal				
C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category °	Citation of document, with Indication, where appropriate, of the rel	evant passages		Relevant to claim No.	
х	US 5 771 657 A (LASHER ET AL) 30 June 1998 (1998-06-30) abstract column 1, lines 4-8			1-9	
	column 1, line 55 - column 2, lir column 3, line 30 - column 4, lir column 6, line 6 - column 7, line column 8, line 15 - column 9, lin column 10, line 61 - column 11, l column 13, line 16 - column 14, l figures	ne 31 e 67 ne 38 ine 59	8		
X	US 2003/066841 A1 (HEBRON TERRANC AL) 10 April 2003 (2003-04-10) abstract paragraph '0086! figures	E J ET		1-9	
	-	/			
X Furth	er documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	χ Patent family me	mbers are listed in a	innex.	
* Special car	egories of cited documents:	T* later document publis	hed after the intern	ational fiting date	
'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance in considered to be of particular relevance in considered to be of particular relevance in considered to be of particular relevance.					
"X" document on particular relevance; the claimed invention filling date cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone					
which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the					
O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document is combined with one or more other such documents of ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled					
"P" docume later th	nt published prior to the international filling date but an the priority date claimed	in the art. & document member of	the same patent far	nity	
Date of the a	ctual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the	international search	report	
27 May 2005 08/06/2005					
Name and m	alling address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2	Authorized officer	*		
	NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Breugelma	ans, J		

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/US2005/003299

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category . Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. χ CANADIAN ASSOCIATION OF CHAIN DRUG STORES: 1-9 "Proposal for the implemenation of central fill and central processing in Canada" INTERNET ARTICLE, 'Online! November 2002 (2002-11), XP002329686 CANADA Retrieved from the Internet: URL: http://www.napra.ca/pdfs/practice/Cent ralFillProposal0211.pdf> 'retrieved on 2005-05-27! the whole document US 6 170 230 B1 (CHUDY DUANE S ET AL) 1-9 9 January 2001 (2001-01-09) abstract column 3, line 65 - column 4, line 6 figure 1 US 6 181 982 B1 (YUYAMA SHOJI ET AL) 1-9 30 January 2001 (2001-01-30) abstract column 3, lines 13-58 US 5 907 493 A (BOYER ET AL) 1-9 25 May 1999 (1999-05-25) abstract

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No PCT/US2005/003299

			111/01=00/01				
	atent document I in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US	5771657	Α	30-06-1998	NONE			18
US	2003066841	A1	10-04-2003	US	2001027634	A1	11-10-2001
				US	6256967	B1	10-07-2001
				AU	6132199	Α	03-04-2000
				CA	2341868	A1	23-03-2000
				WO	0016213	A1	23-03-2000
US	6170230	B1	09-01-2001	AU	1750900	Α	19-06-2000
				CA	2353722	A1	08-06-2000
				EP	1147047	A1	24-10-2001
				JP	2003512088	T	02-04-2003
				WO	0032477	A1	08-06-2000
				US	6625952	B1	30-09-2003
US	6181982	B1	30-01-2001	JP	10234824		08-09-1998
				US	6364517	B1	02-04-2002
US	5907493	Α	25-05-1999	NONE			

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Ero.	n the						
INT	ERNATIONAL SE	ARCHING AUTH	ORITY		DOT		
To	:				PCI		
	coo form	PCT//SA/220		WRIT	TEN OPINION OF THE		
	300 10111	II O MONZZO		INTERNATION	NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
				(F	PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
				Date of mailing			
_					e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
	olicant's or agent's file			FOR FURTHER	ACTION		
	e form PCT/ISA/2			See paragraph 2 belo	w		
	mational application T/US2005/00329		International filing date (c 03.02.2005	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 04.02.2004		
	rnational Patent Clas		both national classification	and IPC			
	olicant						
	KESSON AUTC	MATION SYST	TEMS, INC.				
_							
1.	This opinion o	ontains indication	ons relating to the follo	owing items:			
	⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the op	inion				
	☑ Box No. II	Priority					
	☐ Box No. III	Non-establishn	nent of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability		
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	invention				
	Box No. V	Reasoned state applicability; cit	ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> tations and explanations	.1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such state	novelty, inventive step or industrial ement		
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docume	ents cited				
	□ Box No. VII	Certain defects	in the international app	lication			
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observa	ations on the internation	al application			
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION					
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
	submit to the IPE	EA a written reply date of mailing o	together, where approx	riate, with amendmen	PEA, the applicant is invited to its, before the expiration of three of 22 months from the priority date,		
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						
3.	For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.						

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 Authorized Officer

Breugelmans, J

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4419



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/003299

-	Bo	x No. I	Basis of the opinion
1.	Wit the	h regard languaç	to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in e in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		langua	inion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following ge , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With	h regard essary t	to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and othe claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. ty	ype of m	aterial:
	[□ ase	quence listing
	[□ table	e(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. fo	ormat of	material:
		⊐ inw	ritten format
	[⊐ in co	omputer readable form
	c. tii	me of fili	ng/furnishing:
		□ cont	ained in the international application as filed.
		☐ filed	together with the international application in computer readable form.
	Е] furni	shed subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		has bee	on, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating theretor in filed or turnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as late, were furnished.
4.	Addi	itional co	omments:

Box No. II Priority

- 1. Me the validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority does not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where required, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date (Rules 43bis 1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.
- 2.

 This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis 1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
- 3. Additional observations, if necessary:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	2-6,9 1,7,8
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-9
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-9

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- Reference is made to the following document:
 D1: US-A-5 771 657 (LASHER ET AL) 30 June 1998 (1998-06-30)
- 2. Although claims 1, 7 and 9 have been drafted as separate independent method claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought and/or in respect of the terminology used for the features of that subject-matter. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness and as such do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.
- 3. Although the claims specify commonplace technical features, no technical problem which might require an inventive step to overcome can be established. The problems (see description Par. 2-4) which are apparently addressed do not appear to require a technical, but rather an administrative/organisational, i.e. business solution. The implementation of this solution may include the use of generic technical features, however these do not interact to solve any overall technical problem but merely serve their well known functions. Therefore the subject-matter of claims 1-9 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- The document D1 discloses:

The document of alsobases:

A method of discriminating between orders, comprising:
evaluating a queue of orders based on whether each prescription within the
order can be filled in an automated manner, and
determining a set of workstations for each prescription based on said evaluating
(see Col.3, lines 32-59).

Therefore the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

It should be noted that the method of claim 1 could be executed by a person. The automation of this method by a (computerised) system would be implemented without

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2005/003299

inventive skill (Article 33(3) PCT).

- 5. Dependent claims 2-6 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, the reasons being as follows:
 The features of claims 2-6 relate to well known features in automated prescription filling systems (e.g. document D1). Therefore the subject-matter of claims 2-6 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT and/or does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of claims 7-9, which therefore are also considered not new (Article 33(2) PCT) and/or inventive (Article 33(2) PCT).